

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/02/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,172	04/06/2000	Shinichi Kuroda	1163-268P	9554
7	7590 04/02/2002			
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER	
P O Box 747			WONG, ALLEN C	
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

			(A			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/545,172	KURODA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Allen Wong	2613			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	farch 2002				
2a)		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
		·	Ę			
The specification is objected to by the Examilier.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)∏ T		-	• •			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(·	_				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trac						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/02 have been fully read and considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application
as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29,
2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this
application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the
AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 6, 7 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sun (5,969,764).

Regarding claim 6, Sun discloses an image decoding device which decodes an encoded bit stream formed by encoding images for each object, comprising:

display speed information decoding means for decoding object display speed information from said encoded bit stream, the display speed information indicating a number of objects displayed per a unit time (Note Sun teaches that multiple VO are present in a single frame col.8, lines 52-55 the number of which is shown in Table 5, see col.12 (note VO0-VO5 in Container-1). Since each frame has a specific rate (Table 4), Sun teaches for each frame (duration of 1/rate which is a unit of time) will indicate the number of VOs.); and

control means for controlling the reconstruction of said encoded images encoded for each object, based on said decoded object display speed information (note Sun's figure 3 illustrates a control means or a rate control process with additional features for controlling the reconstruction of the encoded images for each object where the frameskip control uses the decoded object display speed data for preventing the overflow of data and improving smoothness of the displayed images).

Note claims 7 and 20 have similar corresponding elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun (5,969,764) in view of Suzuki (6,031,575).

With regards to claims 8-11, Sun discloses the display speed information decoding means and control means. Sun does not disclose the decoded object display speed is fixed or variable. However, Suzuki teaches plural VOPs at different VOP rates, ie. display speed (col.47, ln.65 to col.48, ln.10). It is understood different rates have different time intervals. The fact that the time axis is graduated into different time intervals is based on the incoming VOP rates. It follows that Suzuki teaches variable VOP rates. It should also be understood that if the VOP rates are not changing, it is considered fixed. Thus, both fixed and variable display rates are met by Suzuki.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (703) 306-5978. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

AW March 27, 2002 Allen Wong Examiner Art Unit 2613

CHRIS KELLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600